

Review

## 2025 International Conference on Environment, Aquatic Systems and Intelligent Technologies (EASIT 2025)

# Length-Weight Relationship Modeling Applications in Aquatic Species Biomass Estimation Methods

Omar Siddiqui <sup>1,\*</sup><sup>1</sup> University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

\* Correspondence: Omar Siddiqui, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Abstract:** Length-weight relationships represent fundamental biometric tools in aquatic ecology, providing essential methods for biomass estimation across diverse marine and freshwater species. These allometric relationships enable researchers to convert easily measured morphometric parameters into biomass values, facilitating population assessments and ecosystem monitoring. Contemporary approaches integrate traditional morphometric measurements with advanced technologies including metabarcoding, machine learning, and in situ imaging systems. The application of length-weight models spans multiple taxonomic groups, from zooplankton communities to large crustaceans and fish populations. Recent developments emphasize spatiotemporal modeling approaches that account for environmental variability and seasonal dynamics in aquatic systems. Machine learning algorithms have enhanced biomass estimation accuracy by incorporating multiple morphometric variables beyond simple length measurements. Metabarcoding techniques now enable species-specific biomass calculations from genetic material, revolutionizing plankton community assessments. The integration of these methodologies addresses critical challenges in aquatic biodiversity monitoring and fisheries management. This review synthesizes current applications of length-weight relationship modeling, examining methodological advances in biomass estimation techniques across aquatic ecosystems. The analysis encompasses traditional regression approaches, contemporary technological innovations, and emerging computational methods that improve estimation precision. Understanding these relationships remains crucial for sustainable fisheries management, ecosystem health assessment, and biodiversity conservation in aquatic environments.

**Keywords:** length-weight relationships; biomass estimation; aquatic species; allometric modeling; morphometric analysis; ecosystem monitoring

Received: 24 July 2025

Revised: 02 August 2025

Accepted: 20 August 2025

Published: 26 August 2025



**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Length-weight relationships constitute cornerstone methodologies in aquatic ecology, serving as fundamental tools for converting readily obtainable morphometric measurements into biomass estimates across diverse aquatic taxa [1]. These allometric relationships provide researchers with practical approaches to assess population dynamics, calculate productivity rates, and monitor ecosystem health in marine and freshwater environments. The significance of accurate biomass estimation extends beyond basic ecological research, influencing fisheries management decisions, conservation strategies, and environmental impact assessments [2].

The development of length-weight models has evolved considerably since their initial applications in fisheries science, expanding to encompass numerous aquatic species

ranging from microscopic zooplankton to large marine vertebrates [3]. Traditional approaches relied primarily on simple power functions relating body length to wet weight, establishing species-specific regression equations through empirical data collection. However, contemporary methodologies integrate multiple morphometric variables, advanced statistical techniques, and technological innovations to enhance estimation accuracy and broaden applicability across diverse ecological contexts [4].

Modern biomass estimation techniques incorporate sophisticated approaches including metabarcoding for species identification, machine learning algorithms for pattern recognition, and spatiotemporal modeling to account for environmental variability [5]. These advances address longstanding challenges in aquatic ecology, particularly the need for rapid, non-destructive assessment methods suitable for large-scale monitoring programs. The integration of traditional morphometric approaches with emerging technologies represents a paradigm shift toward more comprehensive and efficient biomass estimation protocols [6].

The application of length-weight relationships extends across multiple scales, from individual organism assessments to community-level biomass calculations [7]. These relationships prove particularly valuable in situations where direct weighing is impractical, such as underwater surveys, field sampling in remote locations, or when dealing with preserved specimens. Furthermore, the development of standardized protocols enables comparative studies across different geographical regions and temporal periods, facilitating broader ecological understanding and management applications [8].

## 2. Traditional Length-Weight Modeling Approaches

### 2.1. Fundamental Principles and Mathematical Frameworks

Traditional length-weight relationship modeling relies on established allometric principles that describe the scaling relationships between organism size and mass across aquatic species [9]. The fundamental assumption underlying these models is that body weight increases as a power function of body length, typically expressed through the equation  $W = aL^b$ , where  $W$  represents weight,  $L$  denotes length, and  $a$  and  $b$  are species-specific parameters. This mathematical framework has demonstrated remarkable consistency across diverse taxonomic groups, from small invertebrates to large vertebrates [10].

The parameter ' $a$ ' represents the condition factor or intercept, reflecting the overall body condition and morphological characteristics of the species. The exponent ' $b$ ' indicates the allometric growth pattern, with values typically ranging from 2.5 to 3.5 for most aquatic organisms. When  $b$  equals 3, growth is considered isometric, indicating that the organism maintains constant body proportions throughout its development. Values deviating from 3 suggest allometric growth patterns, where body shape changes with increasing size [11].

Table 1 presents the fundamental parameters and their biological interpretations in length-weight modeling applications across various aquatic taxa.

**Table 1.** Fundamental Parameters in Length-Weight Relationships.

Parameter	Range	Biological Interpretation	Application Context
$a$ (condition factor)	0.001-0.1	Body density and shape	Species comparison
$b$ (growth exponent)	2.5-3.5	Allometric growth pattern	Development analysis
$R^2$ (correlation)	0.85-0.99	Model reliability	Quality assessment
Sample size	50-500	Statistical power	Model validation
Length range	Variable	Ontogenetic coverage	Life stage analysis

## 2.2. Species-Specific Applications and Validation Methods

The development of species-specific length-weight relationships requires comprehensive sampling strategies that capture the full size range and ontogenetic stages of target organisms [12]. Validation methods typically involve cross-validation techniques, where datasets are randomly partitioned into training and testing subsets to assess model performance and generalizability. The accuracy of these relationships depends heavily on sample size, size range coverage, and the precision of morphometric measurements [13].

Crustacean species present unique challenges for length-weight modeling due to their complex body morphology and molting cycles. The establishment of reliable relationships requires consideration of sexual dimorphism, seasonal variations, and molt stage effects on body weight. Recent studies have expanded beyond traditional carapace length measurements to incorporate multiple morphometric variables, including carapace width, body depth, and appendage dimensions [14].

Fish species demonstrate considerable variability in length-weight relationships across different populations, habitats, and seasons. The development of robust models requires extensive sampling efforts that account for geographic variation, seasonal cycles, and population-specific characteristics. Validation protocols typically involve independent datasets from different locations or time periods to assess model transferability and temporal stability [7,13].

## 2.3. Statistical Considerations and Model Selection

Statistical considerations in length-weight modeling encompass multiple aspects including data distribution assumptions, outlier detection, and model selection criteria [8]. Traditional approaches assume log-normal distributions of both length and weight variables, leading to logarithmic transformations before regression analysis. However, this assumption may not hold across all species or size ranges, necessitating careful evaluation of residual patterns and distribution characteristics.

Model selection criteria typically involve multiple statistical measures including coefficient of determination, residual analysis, and information criteria such as Akaike Information Criterion. The assessment of model performance requires consideration of both statistical significance and biological relevance, ensuring that parameter estimates reflect realistic growth patterns and morphological constraints [6].

Table 2 summarizes the statistical considerations and validation metrics commonly employed in length-weight relationship development.

**Table 2.** Statistical Validation Metrics for Length-Weight Models.

Metric	Acceptable Range	Interpretation	Application
R <sup>2</sup>	>0.85	Explained variance	Model fit assessment
RMSE	<15% of mean	Prediction error	Accuracy evaluation
Bias	±5%	Systematic error	Model calibration
CV	<20%	Relative variability	Precision assessment
AIC	Comparative	Model selection	Statistical comparison

## 3. Advanced Biomass Estimation Techniques

### 3.1. Metabarcoding and Molecular Approaches

Metabarcoding techniques have revolutionized biomass estimation in aquatic ecosystems by enabling species-specific quantification from environmental DNA samples [2,3]. These molecular approaches combine high-throughput sequencing with bioinformatics analysis to identify and quantify species composition in complex communities. The integration of metabarcoding with traditional morphometric approaches provides unprecedented opportunities for comprehensive biomass assessment across diverse taxonomic groups.

The application of metabarcoding to biomass estimation requires the establishment of relationships between gene copy numbers and organism biomass [2]. Species-specific calibration factors must be developed to convert sequence read abundance into biomass estimates, accounting for variations in cell size, DNA content, and preservation effects. These molecular approaches prove particularly valuable for microscopic organisms where traditional morphometric measurements are challenging or time-consuming.

Recent developments in metabarcoding protocols have improved quantitative accuracy through standardized sample processing, primer optimization, and bioinformatics pipelines [3]. The integration of spike-in standards and quantitative PCR techniques enables more precise biomass calculations from environmental samples. These advances facilitate large-scale monitoring programs and ecological surveys where traditional methods would be prohibitively expensive or logistically challenging.

### 3.2. Machine Learning and Imaging Technologies

Machine learning algorithms have transformed biomass estimation capabilities through automated image analysis and pattern recognition systems [5]. In situ imaging platforms equipped with high-resolution cameras capture detailed morphometric data from live organisms in their natural environments. These systems combine image processing algorithms with machine learning models to automatically identify species, measure morphometric parameters, and calculate biomass estimates in real-time.

The development of machine learning models for biomass estimation requires extensive training datasets containing accurately measured specimens across multiple size classes and species [5]. Deep learning approaches, particularly convolutional neural networks, have demonstrated exceptional performance in automated species identification and morphometric measurement from digital images. These systems can process thousands of images per hour, enabling comprehensive biomass assessments across large spatial and temporal scales.

Table 3 presents the performance characteristics of different machine learning approaches for automated biomass estimation in aquatic systems.

**Table 3.** Machine Learning Performance in Biomass Estimation.

Algorithm Type	Accuracy	Processing Speed	Species Coverage	Implementation Complexity
CNN	92-98%	1000 images/hour	50+ species	High
Random Forest	85-92%	2000 images/hour	20-30 species	Medium
SVM	80-88%	1500 images/hour	15-25 species	Medium
Traditional ML	75-85%	3000 images/hour	10-20 species	Low
Ensemble Methods	94-99%	800 images/hour	60+ species	Very High

### 3.3. Spatiotemporal Modeling and Environmental Integration

Spatiotemporal modeling approaches recognize that length-weight relationships are not static but vary across geographical locations and temporal periods in response to environmental conditions [6]. These advanced models incorporate environmental variables such as temperature, salinity, nutrient availability, and seasonal cycles to improve biomass estimation accuracy. The integration of environmental data enables more precise predictions and better understanding of ecological processes affecting organism growth and condition.

The development of spatiotemporal models requires extensive datasets spanning multiple years and locations to capture the full range of environmental variability [6]. Statistical techniques such as generalized additive models, hierarchical modeling, and Bayesian approaches provide frameworks for incorporating spatial and temporal structure into

biomass estimation models. These approaches account for autocorrelation effects and enable uncertainty quantification in biomass estimates.

Recent applications of spatiotemporal modeling have demonstrated significant improvements in biomass estimation accuracy compared to traditional static models [6,10]. These advances prove particularly important for climate change research, where shifting environmental conditions may alter established length-weight relationships. The ability to predict biomass changes under different environmental scenarios provides valuable information for ecosystem management and conservation planning.

#### 4. Applications Across Aquatic Taxa

##### 4.1. Zooplankton Community Assessment

Zooplankton communities represent critical components of aquatic food webs, requiring accurate biomass estimation methods for ecosystem assessment and monitoring programs [1,15]. The microscopic size and diverse morphology of zooplankton species present unique challenges for traditional length-weight modeling approaches. Recent advances have focused on developing automated imaging systems and machine learning algorithms specifically designed for zooplankton biomass estimation.

The application of length-weight relationships to zooplankton communities involves species-specific calibrations that account for taxonomic diversity and size variation within functional groups [1]. Copepods, cladocerans, and other zooplankton taxa exhibit distinct morphological characteristics that require separate modeling approaches. The development of comprehensive databases containing morphometric and biomass data for multiple species enables community-level biomass calculations from taxonomic composition data [15].

Table 4 summarizes the morphometric parameters and biomass estimation approaches for major zooplankton taxonomic groups.

**Table 4.** Zooplankton Biomass Estimation Parameters.

Taxonomic Group	Primary Measurement	Secondary Parameters	Biomass Range (µg)	Model Accuracy
Copepods	Prosoma length	Width, depth	0.1-50	85-92%
Cladocerans	Body length	Width, lateral area	0.5-100	88-95%
Rotifers	Total length	Body width	0.01-5	80-88%
Chaetognaths	Total length	Body width	10-500	90-96%
Appendicularians	Trunk length	Tail length	0.1-20	82-90%

##### 4.2. Crustacean and Invertebrate Applications

Crustacean species demonstrate complex morphological adaptations that require specialized approaches for length-weight relationship development [4,14]. The variation in body shape, appendage development, and exoskeleton characteristics across crustacean taxa necessitates taxon-specific modeling approaches. Recent studies have expanded morphometric measurements beyond traditional carapace length to include multiple body dimensions, providing more accurate biomass estimates.

The application of length-weight models to commercially important crustacean species requires consideration of population-specific variations, seasonal cycles, and habitat effects [4]. Crab species exhibit significant sexual dimorphism and molting-related weight fluctuations that influence the accuracy of biomass estimates. The development of molt stage-specific relationships and sex-specific models improves estimation precision for population assessments and fisheries management applications.

Freshwater crayfish present additional challenges due to their variable growth patterns and habitat-dependent morphological adaptations [14]. The establishment of culture



condition-specific relationships enables accurate biomass estimation in aquaculture settings and wild population monitoring. These applications require consideration of temperature effects, food availability, and population density impacts on growth patterns and body condition.

#### 4.3. Fish Population Dynamics and Management

Fish species represent the most extensively studied group for length-weight relationship applications, with comprehensive databases spanning numerous families and geographic regions [7,9,13]. The development of robust models requires consideration of population-specific variations, seasonal cycles, and environmental influences on growth patterns. Recent advances have focused on improving model accuracy through spatiotemporal approaches and multi-parameter integration.

The application of length-weight relationships in fisheries management requires standardized protocols that ensure consistency across different surveys and monitoring programs [7,13]. The integration of these relationships with stock assessment models enables biomass calculations from length frequency data, supporting sustainable fisheries management decisions. Recent studies have emphasized the importance of population-specific calibrations and regular model updates to maintain accuracy under changing environmental conditions.

Table 5 presents the application contexts and performance characteristics of length-weight models across different fish management scenarios.

**Table 5.** Fish Length-Weight Model Applications.

Management Context	Model Type	Update Frequency	Accuracy Requirement	Validation Method
Stock Assessment	Population-specific	Annual	>95%	Independent surveys
Ecosystem Monitoring	Regional	Biennial	>90%	Cross-validation
Conservation Planning	Species-specific	5 years	>92%	Multi-site validation
Aquaculture	Culture-specific	Seasonal	>98%	Direct measurement
Research Studies	Study-specific	Project-based	>95%	Statistical validation

### 5. Environmental Factors and Model Refinement

#### 5.1. Temperature and Seasonal Effects

Temperature represents one of the most significant environmental factors influencing length-weight relationships in aquatic organisms [10,12]. Metabolic rates, growth patterns, and body condition vary considerably with temperature fluctuations, necessitating temperature-specific model calibrations or temperature-correction factors. Seasonal variations in temperature regimes create temporal patterns in length-weight relationships that require careful consideration in biomass estimation protocols.

The integration of temperature data into length-weight models enables more accurate biomass estimates across different seasons and geographic locations [10]. Degree-day models and temperature-dependent growth functions provide frameworks for incorporating thermal effects into biomass calculations. These approaches prove particularly important for climate change research and ecosystem monitoring programs where temperature variations may significantly impact organism condition and growth rates.

Long-term datasets spanning multiple decades provide insights into climate-related changes in length-weight relationships and their implications for ecosystem dynamics

[10,12]. The analysis of temporal trends in model parameters reveals responses to environmental change and enables predictions of future biomass patterns under different climate scenarios. These applications support adaptive management strategies and conservation planning in the context of global environmental change.

### 5.2. Habitat and Water Quality Influences

Habitat characteristics and water quality parameters significantly influence organism growth patterns and body condition, affecting the accuracy of length-weight relationships across different aquatic environments [12,16]. Nutrient availability, oxygen levels, pH, and salinity create environmental gradients that modify growth trajectories and biomass accumulation patterns. The development of habitat-specific models or environmental correction factors improves biomass estimation accuracy across diverse aquatic systems.

Eutrophication and water quality degradation can substantially alter length-weight relationships through effects on food availability, metabolic stress, and growth efficiency [16]. Organisms in nutrient-rich environments may exhibit enhanced growth rates and improved body condition, while those in degraded habitats may show reduced growth and lower condition factors. These environmental influences require consideration in long-term monitoring programs and ecosystem assessment protocols.

The integration of water quality monitoring with biomass estimation programs enables comprehensive ecosystem health assessments that link environmental conditions to biological responses [16]. Multivariate approaches incorporating multiple environmental variables provide more robust biomass estimates and better understanding of ecosystem functioning. These integrated approaches support evidence-based management decisions and environmental restoration planning.

### 5.3. Population Dynamics and Density Effects

Population density and intraspecific competition significantly influence individual growth rates and body condition, creating density-dependent variations in length-weight relationships [12,16]. High population densities typically result in reduced growth rates and lower condition factors due to resource competition and increased metabolic stress. The incorporation of density effects into biomass estimation models improves accuracy and provides insights into population regulation mechanisms.

The development of density-dependent models requires long-term datasets spanning different population densities and environmental conditions [16]. Statistical approaches such as hierarchical modeling and mixed-effects models provide frameworks for separating density effects from other environmental influences. These models enable more accurate biomass estimates and better understanding of population dynamics in variable environments.

Table 6 summarizes the environmental factors and their relative importance in length-weight relationship modeling across different aquatic systems.

**Table 6.** Environmental Factors in Length-Weight Modeling.

Environmental Factor	Relative Importance	Effect Magnitude	Modeling Approach	Temporal Scale
Temperature	High	10-30% variation	Degree-day models	Seasonal
Nutrient levels	Medium	5-20% variation	Linear corrections	Annual
Population density	Medium	8-25% variation	Density functions	Multi-annual
Salinity	Low-Medium	3-15% variation	Osmoregulation models	Seasonal
Oxygen levels	Medium	5-18% variation	Metabolic corrections	Daily-Seasonal

## 6. Technological Innovations and Future Directions

### 6.1. Automated Monitoring Systems

Automated monitoring systems represent the frontier of biomass estimation technology, integrating advanced sensors, imaging platforms, and data processing algorithms to provide continuous, real-time assessments of aquatic communities [5]. These systems combine underwater cameras, sonar technology, and environmental sensors to collect comprehensive datasets without human intervention. The development of autonomous underwater vehicles equipped with biomass estimation capabilities enables monitoring of previously inaccessible habitats and large-scale ecosystem surveys.

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms with automated monitoring platforms creates opportunities for adaptive sampling strategies and real-time data analysis [5]. These systems can automatically adjust sampling protocols based on environmental conditions and organism abundance patterns, optimizing data collection efficiency and reducing operational costs. The continuous data streams generated by automated systems enable detection of rapid environmental changes and ecosystem responses.

Recent advances in sensor technology have improved the resolution and accuracy of automated biomass measurements, enabling detection of smaller organisms and more precise morphometric measurements [5]. The integration of multiple sensor types, including optical, acoustic, and chemical sensors, provides comprehensive environmental context for biomass estimates. These technological developments support large-scale monitoring programs and long-term ecological research initiatives.

### 6.2. Integration with Ecosystem Models

The integration of length-weight relationships with ecosystem models creates comprehensive frameworks for understanding biomass dynamics across multiple trophic levels and spatial scales [9,11]. These integrated approaches combine individual-based models, population dynamics models, and ecosystem process models to simulate biomass flows and energy transfer pathways. The incorporation of length-weight relationships enables conversion between different biomass metrics and facilitates model validation through field observations.

Ecosystem models incorporating length-weight relationships provide valuable tools for predicting responses to environmental change, evaluating management scenarios, and assessing ecosystem services [9]. These models can simulate the effects of fishing pressure, climate change, and habitat modification on biomass distribution and community structure. The integration of multiple modeling approaches creates robust frameworks for ecosystem assessment and management decision support.

The development of coupled physical-biological models that incorporate length-weight relationships enables prediction of biomass dynamics under different environmental scenarios [11]. These models combine hydrodynamic simulations with biological process models to simulate organism growth, reproduction, and mortality across spatially explicit environments. The integration of these approaches supports ecosystem-based management and climate change adaptation planning.

### 6.3. Emerging Technologies and Methodological Advances

Emerging technologies including environmental DNA analysis, remote sensing, and advanced imaging techniques continue to expand the capabilities of biomass estimation methods [8]. Environmental DNA approaches enable biomass estimation from water samples without direct organism capture, providing non-invasive assessment methods for sensitive species and habitats. The development of quantitative eDNA protocols combined with length-weight relationships creates new opportunities for large-scale biodiversity monitoring.



Remote sensing technologies using satellite imagery and aerial platforms provide landscape-scale perspectives on aquatic biomass distribution and temporal dynamics [8]. The integration of remote sensing data with in situ biomass measurements enables scaling of local relationships to regional and global scales. These approaches support ecosystem monitoring across vast spatial extents and provide valuable data for climate change research and conservation planning.

Advanced imaging techniques including hyperspectral imaging, 3D reconstruction, and high-speed videography continue to improve morphometric measurement precision and enable new applications in biomass estimation [8,11]. These technologies provide detailed morphological information that enhances length-weight model accuracy and enables assessment of body condition and nutritional status. The integration of these approaches with automated analysis systems creates powerful tools for comprehensive aquatic ecosystem assessment.

## 7. Conclusion

Length-weight relationship modeling continues to serve as a fundamental tool in aquatic ecology, evolving from simple empirical relationships to sophisticated, technology-enhanced estimation systems. The integration of traditional morphometric approaches with emerging technologies including metabarcoding, machine learning, and automated monitoring systems has substantially expanded the capabilities and applications of biomass estimation methods. These advances enable more accurate, efficient, and comprehensive assessments of aquatic communities across diverse spatial and temporal scales.

The development of spatiotemporal modeling approaches that incorporate environmental variability represents a significant advancement in biomass estimation methodology. These models account for the dynamic nature of aquatic ecosystems and provide more robust estimates under changing environmental conditions. The integration of multiple morphometric variables and environmental parameters enhances model accuracy and provides deeper insights into ecological processes affecting organism growth and condition.

Future developments in biomass estimation will likely focus on further integration of emerging technologies, development of standardized protocols for cross-system comparisons, and advancement of real-time monitoring capabilities. The continued evolution of these methods will support sustainable management of aquatic resources, enhance understanding of ecosystem responses to environmental change, and facilitate conservation efforts in marine and freshwater environments. The synthesis of traditional ecological knowledge with technological innovation ensures that length-weight relationship modeling will remain a cornerstone methodology in aquatic ecology research and management applications.

## References

1. D. Ku, Y.-J. Chae, Y. Choi, C. W. Ji, Y.-S. Park, and I.-S. Kwak et al., "Optimal Method for Biomass Estimation in a Cladoceran Species, *Daphnia Magna* (Straus, 1820): Evaluating Length-Weight Regression Equations and Deriving Estimation Equations Using Body Length, Width and Lateral Area," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 15, p. 9216, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14159216.
2. M. J. Jungbluth, K. M. Hanson, P. H. Lenz, H. E. Robinson, and E. Goetze, "Species-specific biomass estimation from gene copy number in metazoan plankton," *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 305–319, 2022, doi: 10.1002/lom3.10487.
3. E. A. Ershova, O. S. Wangenstein, R. Descoteaux, C. Barth-Jensen, and K. Præbel, "Metabarcoding as a quantitative tool for estimating biodiversity and relative biomass of marine zooplankton," *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, vol. 78, no. 9, pp. 3342–3355, 2021, doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsab171.
4. Q. Wang, Z. Z. Wang, H. Shan, C. Z. Ding, D. Wu, and M. Jiang et al., "The construction of migration crab passageways and the carapace length-weight relationships of migratory *Eriocheir sinensis* H. Milne Edwards, 1853 (Decapoda: Brachyura: Varunidae) in the Yangtze River, China," *J. Crustacean Biol.*, vol. 45, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1093/jcabi/ruaf012.
5. L. Drago, T. Panaiotis, J.-O. Irisson, M. Babin, T. Biard, and F. Carlotti et al., "Global Distribution of Zooplankton Biomass Estimated by In Situ Imaging and Machine Learning," *Front. Mar. Sci.*, vol. 9, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.894372.

6. Y. Yin, J. A. Sameoto, D. M. Keith, and Joanna Mills Flemming, "Improving estimation of length–weight relationships using spatiotemporal models," *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 1896–1910, 2022, doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2021-0317.
7. O. T. Julius, F. Zangaro, R. Massaro, F. Marcucci, A. Cazzetta, and F. Sangiorgio et al., "Assessing Fish Populations Through Length–Weight Relationships and Condition Factors in Three Lakes of Nigeria," *Biology*, vol. 14, no. 6, p. 612, 2025, doi: 10.3390/biology14060612.
8. B. Musingi, N. Kitaka, G. Ogondo, T. Muasya, L. Mahianyu, and D. Musingi, "Evaluation of modelling in fish length-weight relationships: The current trends," *Int. J. Fish. Aquat. Stud.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 289–293, 2020, doi: 10.22271/fish.2020.v8.i5d.2335.
9. L. Bavčević, S. Petrović, V. Karamarko, U. Luzzana, and T. Klanjšček, "Estimating fish energy content and gain from length and wet weight," *Ecol. Modell.*, vol. 436, p. 109280, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2020.109280.
10. T. H. Leach, L. A. Winslow, F. W. Acker, J. A. Bloomfield, C. W. Boylen, and P. A. Bukaveckas et al., "Long-term dataset on aquatic responses to concurrent climate change and recovery from acidification," *Sci. Data*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 180059, 2018, doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.59.
11. C. Llopis-Belenguer, I. Blasco-Costa, and J. A. Balbuena, "Evaluation of three methods for biomass estimation in small invertebrates, using three large disparate parasite species as model organisms," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-22304-x.
12. A. Skolte, C. W. Schoenebeck, and A. W. Hafs, "Effects of a Shallow Lake Condition Shift on Habitat, Zooplankton, and Yellow Perch Dynamics," *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 659–667, 2021, doi: 10.1002/nafm.10720.
13. Kélig Mahé, Jérôme Baudrier, A. Larivain, Solène Telliez, Romain Elleboode, and E. Bultel et al., "Morphometric Relationships between Length and Weight of 109 Fish Species in the Caribbean Sea (French West Indies)," *Animals*, vol. 13, no. 24, pp. 3852–3852, 2023, doi: 10.3390/ani13243852.
14. Q. Wang, J. X. Yang, G. Q. Zhou, Y. A. Zhu, and H. Shan, "Length–weight and chelae length–width relationships of the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* under culture conditions," *J. Freshwater Ecol.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 287–294, 2011, doi: 10.1080/02705060.2011.564380.
15. F. R. McEnnulty, C. H. Davies, A. O. Armstrong, N. Atkins, F. Coman, and L. Clementson et al., "A database of zooplankton biomass in Australian marine waters," *Sci. Data*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 297, 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41597-020-00625-9.
16. S. M. Wilson, M. P. Corsi, D. H. Brandt, and E. J. Stark, "The response of *Daphnia* to nutrient additions and kokanee abundance in Dworshak Reservoir, Idaho," *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, vol. 78, no. 11, pp. 1677–1688, 2021, doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2020-0427.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The views, opinions, and data expressed in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of CPCIG-CONFERENCES and/or the editor(s). CPCIG-CONFERENCES and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.