*Review*

*2025 International Conference on Environment, Aquatic Systems and Intelligent Technologies (EASIT 2025)*

# Carapace Measurement Techniques for Determining Growth Rates in Cultured Crustaceans

**Ravi Mahadevan [1,*]**

[1] Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore, India
* Correspondence: Ravi Mahadevan, Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore, India

**Abstract:** Accurate measurement of carapace dimensions is fundamental for assessing growth rates in cultured crustaceans, providing essential data for aquaculture management and biological research. This paper examines various carapace measurement methodologies employed in crustacean cultivation, analyzing their precision, applicability, and effectiveness across different species. Traditional morphometric approaches, including direct caliper measurements and photographic analysis, are evaluated alongside modern digital imaging techniques and automated measurement systems. The study reviews measurement protocols for major cultured species including mud crabs, red king crabs, lobsters, and freshwater crayfish, examining how environmental factors such as temperature influence growth patterns and measurement accuracy. Standardized measurement techniques are critical for establishing reliable length-weight relationships and determining size at maturity parameters essential for aquaculture optimization. The analysis reveals that carapace width measurements generally provide more consistent growth indicators than length measurements, particularly in brachyuran species. Temperature-dependent growth responses necessitate controlled measurement environments and standardized protocols to ensure data comparability across studies. Integration of morphometric data with molting frequency observations enhances growth rate calculations and provides comprehensive assessment tools for aquaculture practitioners. Recommendations include adoption of standardized measurement protocols, implementation of digital imaging systems for improved accuracy, and establishment of species-specific measurement guidelines to optimize growth monitoring in commercial crustacean culture operations.

**Keywords:** carapace morphometry; crustacean growth; aquaculture measurements; growth rates; morphometric analysis; digital imaging

Received: 28 July 2025
Revised: 03 August 2025
Accepted: 18 August 2025
Published: 23 August 2025



## 1. Introduction

Crustacean aquaculture represents a rapidly expanding sector of global aquaculture production, with accurate growth assessment serving as a cornerstone for successful cultivation operations. The measurement of carapace dimensions provides fundamental data for understanding growth patterns, optimizing feeding regimes, predicting harvest times, and establishing sustainable production protocols [1]. Carapace measurements serve multiple functions in crustacean research and aquaculture, including determination of size at maturity, establishment of length-weight relationships, assessment of nutritional status, and evaluation of environmental impacts on growth performance.

The complexity of crustacean growth patterns, characterized by discontinuous growth through molting cycles, presents unique challenges for measurement standardization and growth rate determination. Unlike vertebrate species that exhibit continuous growth, crustaceans undergo periodic molting events that result in sudden increases in body size followed by periods of weight gain without dimensional changes [2]. This growth pattern necessitates specialized measurement approaches that account for molting frequency, post-molt hardening periods, and species-specific morphological variations.

Modern aquaculture operations require precise measurement techniques that can be implemented efficiently across large populations while maintaining scientific rigor. The development of standardized measurement protocols has become increasingly important as commercial crustacean production scales up and research data must be comparable across different facilities and geographic regions [3]. Temperature effects on growth rates further complicate measurement protocols, as thermal conditions significantly influence both growth velocity and morphometric relationships in cultured crustaceans.

The evolution of measurement technologies has introduced new possibilities for automated and semi-automated measurement systems, offering potential improvements in accuracy, efficiency, and data management. Digital imaging systems, computerized morphometric analysis, and machine learning applications are increasingly being integrated into crustacean measurement protocols, though traditional manual measurement techniques remain widely used and serve as reference standards for validation of newer methods [4].

## 2. Morphometric Fundamentals in Crustacean Measurement

### *2.1. Anatomical Reference Points and Measurement Standards*

Establishment of consistent anatomical reference points forms the foundation of reliable carapace measurement protocols in crustacean research and aquaculture applications. The carapace, representing the dorsal exoskeletal structure covering the cephalothorax, provides multiple measurement parameters that correlate with overall body size and growth status. Primary measurement dimensions include carapace length, measured from the anterior margin of the rostrum to the posterior margin of the carapace, and carapace width, typically measured at the widest point of the carapace perpendicular to the longitudinal axis [5].

Species-specific anatomical variations necessitate adaptation of measurement protocols to accommodate morphological differences among cultured crustacean taxa. Brachyuran crabs exhibit characteristically broad carapaces where width measurements often provide more reliable growth indicators than length measurements, while macruran forms such as lobsters and crayfish demonstrate elongated carapace structures where length measurements may prove more informative [6]. The positioning of measurement reference points must account for rostral projections, lateral spines, and other morphological features that vary significantly among species and can affect measurement consistency.

Standardization of measurement orientation and specimen positioning represents a critical factor in ensuring reproducible results across different operators and facilities. Specimens should be positioned ventral-side down on a flat measurement surface with appendages arranged in standardized positions to minimize measurement variability. The carapace should be oriented with the longitudinal axis parallel to the measurement axis, and care must be taken to ensure that lateral compression or distortion does not affect dimensional measurements [7]. Table 1 presents standardized measurement protocols for major cultured crustacean groups, illustrating species-specific adaptations of general measurement principles.

**Table 1.** Standardized Carapace Measurement Protocols for Major Cultured Crustacean Species.

| Species Group | Primary Measurement | Reference Points | Positioning Requirements | Precision Level |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mud Crabs | Carapace Width | Lateral spine tips | Dorsal positioning, appendages retracted | ±0.1 mm |
| King Crabs | Carapace Length | Rostrum to posterior margin | Ventral positioning, legs extended | ±0.5 mm |
| Lobsters | Carapace Length | Post-orbital to posterior | Lateral positioning, antennae excluded | ±0.2 mm |
| Freshwater Crayfish | Carapace Length | Rostral tip to cervical groove | Dorsal positioning, chelae standardized | ±0.1 mm |
| Marine Prawns | Carapace Length | Rostral base to posterior | Lateral positioning, vertical orientation | ±0.05 mm |

### *2.2. Precision Requirements and Measurement Accuracy*

Measurement precision requirements vary significantly depending on the intended application, species characteristics, and life stage of the specimens being evaluated. Research applications typically demand higher precision levels than routine aquaculture monitoring, with scientific studies often requiring measurement accuracy within 0.1 millimeters or better [8]. Commercial aquaculture operations may accept lower precision levels while maintaining sufficient accuracy for growth assessment and production management purposes.

The relationship between measurement precision and biological significance must be carefully considered when establishing measurement protocols. Measurement errors that represent a small percentage of total body size in large specimens may represent significant proportional errors in juvenile stages, potentially leading to misinterpretation of early growth patterns [9]. Statistical analysis of measurement variability should incorporate consideration of biological variation, operator variation, and instrument precision to establish appropriate confidence intervals for growth assessments.

Calibration protocols for measurement instruments represent an essential component of precision maintenance in crustacean measurement programs. Digital calipers, the most commonly used measurement tools in crustacean research, require regular calibration against certified reference standards to ensure continued accuracy [10]. Photographic measurement systems necessitate careful calibration of scaling factors and correction for optical distortion effects that can introduce systematic measurement errors.

### *2.3. Environmental Factors Affecting Measurement Reliability*

Environmental conditions during measurement procedures can significantly influence both the accuracy of measurements and the physiological state of specimens being evaluated. Temperature represents a primary environmental factor affecting measurement reliability, as thermal stress can cause specimens to contract appendages, alter body posture, or exhibit defensive behaviors that interfere with standardized positioning [11]. Measurement protocols should specify acceptable temperature ranges and may require temperature acclimation periods prior to measurement to ensure specimen stability.

Handling stress associated with measurement procedures can induce physiological responses that affect body dimensions and measurement accuracy. Prolonged handling may cause dehydration in terrestrial and semi-terrestrial species, leading to dimensional changes that do not reflect normal growth status [12]. Aquatic species may exhibit stress responses including gill ventilation changes and appendage positioning alterations that can affect measurement consistency.

The timing of measurements relative to molting cycles represents a critical consideration in growth assessment protocols. Measurements taken immediately following molting events may not accurately represent stable body dimensions due to incomplete exoskeleton hardening and potential water uptake during the molting process [1,2]. Standardized protocols should specify minimum post-molt intervals before measurements are conducted to ensure that dimensional data accurately reflects growth increment rather than molting-related changes.

## 3. Digital Imaging and Automated Measurement Systems

### *3.1. Photographic Measurement Techniques and Calibration*

Digital photography has emerged as a powerful tool for crustacean morphometric analysis, offering advantages in terms of permanent record keeping, reduced handling stress, and potential for automated analysis. Photographic measurement systems require careful attention to camera positioning, lighting conditions, and calibration procedures to ensure accurate dimensional data extraction [13]. Standardized photography protocols typically specify camera height, angle, and distance parameters to minimize perspective distortion and ensure consistent scaling across all images.

Calibration of photographic measurement systems relies on inclusion of reference objects of known dimensions within each image frame. Common calibration approaches include placement of rulers, calibration coins, or specialized calibration grids adjacent to specimens during photography [14]. The accuracy of photographic measurements depends critically on the precision of calibration object dimensions and the consistency of their placement relative to the specimen being measured.

Image analysis software applications provide sophisticated tools for extracting dimensional data from digital photographs, with many systems offering automated edge detection and measurement capabilities. However, the accuracy of automated measurements depends on image quality, specimen contrast, and the ability of software algorithms to correctly identify anatomical landmarks [15]. Manual verification of automated measurements remains necessary to ensure data quality, particularly when dealing with specimens exhibiting unusual morphology or poor image contrast. Table 2 summarizes the comparative advantages and limitations of photographic versus direct measurement approaches in crustacean morphometry.

**Table 2.** Comparison of Photographic and Direct Measurement Approaches.

| Measurement Aspect | Photographic Method | Direct Measurement | Relative Advantage |
|---|---|---|---|
| Handling Time | 10-30 seconds | 30-120 seconds | Photographic |
| Measurement Precision | ±0.2-0.5 mm | ±0.1-0.2 mm | Direct |
| Record Permanence | Permanent digital record | Temporary data only | Photographic |
| Equipment Cost | Moderate to high | Low to moderate | Direct |
| Operator Training | Moderate complexity | Low complexity | Direct |

### *3.2. Machine Learning Applications in Morphometric Analysis*

Recent advances in machine learning and computer vision technologies have opened new possibilities for automated crustacean measurement and identification systems. Convolutional neural networks trained on large datasets of annotated crustacean images can achieve high accuracy in automated landmark identification and dimensional measurement [3]. These systems offer potential for high-throughput measurement applications in commercial aquaculture settings where manual measurement of large populations is impractical.

Deep learning algorithms can be trained to recognize species-specific anatomical features and automatically adjust measurement protocols accordingly, reducing the need for manual protocol selection and operator expertise in species identification. Advanced systems can incorporate quality control algorithms that flag images with poor resolution, inadequate lighting, or specimen positioning issues that might compromise measurement accuracy [6]. Integration of machine learning systems with existing aquaculture management software can provide real-time growth monitoring and automated alert systems for optimal harvest timing.

The development of mobile applications incorporating machine learning-based measurement capabilities has potential to democratize access to sophisticated measurement tools for smaller aquaculture operations and research facilities with limited resources. Smartphone-based measurement applications can provide reasonable accuracy for many aquaculture applications while eliminating the need for specialized measurement equipment [4]. However, validation of mobile measurement systems against traditional measurement methods remains essential to establish confidence in automated measurement results.

### *3.3. Quality Control and Validation Protocols*

Implementation of comprehensive quality control protocols represents an essential component of any digital measurement system, ensuring that automated or semi-automated measurements meet established accuracy standards. Validation protocols should include regular comparison of digital measurements against reference measurements obtained using traditional manual methods on representative specimen samples [7]. Statistical analysis of measurement discrepancies can identify systematic biases in digital systems and guide calibration adjustments.

Blind validation studies, where operators measure the same specimens using different methods without knowledge of previous measurement results, provide robust assessment of measurement system performance and operator consistency. These studies should be conducted periodically to monitor measurement system drift and identify training needs for operators [8]. Documentation of validation results and implementation of corrective actions when measurement discrepancies exceed acceptable thresholds ensures continued system reliability.

Automated quality control algorithms can be integrated into digital measurement systems to identify potential measurement errors in real-time. These algorithms can flag measurements that fall outside expected ranges, identify images with poor quality characteristics, or detect inconsistencies in repeated measurements of the same specimen [9]. Implementation of automated quality control reduces the burden on operators while maintaining high data quality standards essential for reliable growth assessment.

## 4. Species-Specific Measurement Protocols and Growth Relationships

### *4.1. Brachyuran Crab Measurement Strategies*

Brachyuran crabs present unique morphometric challenges due to their characteristically broad, flattened carapace structure and species-specific variations in carapace outline and surface features. Mud crabs represent one of the most economically important cultured brachyuran species, with carapace width serving as the primary measurement parameter for growth assessment and size classification [2]. The measurement of carapace width in mud crabs typically involves identification of the lateral-most points of the carapace, which may correspond to lateral spines or the widest portion of the carapace margin depending on species and individual morphology.

King crab species exhibit distinctive carapace morphology with prominent spination and irregular margins that require specialized measurement approaches. The measurement protocols for king crabs often focus on carapace length due to the difficulty of establishing consistent width reference points in the presence of variable lateral spine development [5]. Post-orbital measurements, excluding rostral projections, provide more consistent reference points for longitudinal carapace dimension assessment in king crab species.

Sexual dimorphism in brachyuran species introduces additional complexity to measurement standardization, as male and female specimens may exhibit significantly different carapace proportions and growth patterns. Adult males typically develop broader carapaces relative to their length compared to females, necessitating sex-specific growth models and measurement interpretation protocols [11]. Table 3 illustrates sex-specific growth characteristics observed in major cultured brachyuran species, demonstrating the importance of incorporating sexual dimorphism considerations into measurement protocols.

**Table 3.** Sex-Specific Growth Characteristics in Cultured Brachyuran Species.

| Species | Male Carapace Features | Female Carapace Features | Measurement Priority | Sexual Maturity Size |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mud Crab | Broader, prominent chelae | Narrower, broader abdomen | Width measurement | 90-120 mm CW |
| Blue Crab | Elongated, lateral spines | Rounded, dome-shaped | Width at spines | 75-95 mm CW |
| Red King Crab | Massive, heavy spination | Moderate, less pronounced | Length measurement | 150-180 mm CL |
| Dungeness Crab | Wide, smooth margins | Proportional, curved | Width measurement | 140-160 mm CW |

*4.2. Macruran Growth Assessment Techniques*

Macruran crustaceans, including lobsters and freshwater crayfish, exhibit elongated body forms that require different measurement approaches compared to brachyuran species. Carapace length measurements typically provide the most informative growth assessment data for macruran species, with measurement protocols designed to accommodate rostral variations and avoid measurement complications associated with antennular and antennal structures [6]. The establishment of consistent posterior reference points represents a particular challenge in macruran measurement, as the cervical groove and posterior carapace margin may not provide equally reliable reference points across all species.

Freshwater crayfish cultivation has expanded significantly in recent years, with standardized measurement protocols essential for optimizing production efficiency and establishing reliable growth performance benchmarks [4]. Crayfish carapace measurements must account for significant intraspecific variation in rostral development and the presence of various surface sculpturing that can affect measurement consistency. The exclusion of rostral projections from standard length measurements helps reduce measurement variability while maintaining biological relevance for growth assessment purposes.

Lobster species present additional measurement challenges due to their large size range and extended growth periods in aquaculture systems. Juvenile lobsters require high-precision measurement techniques due to their small size, while adult specimens may exceed the capacity of standard measurement tools [10]. The development of size-class-specific measurement protocols ensures appropriate precision levels across all life stages while maintaining measurement consistency and biological relevance.

*4.3. Environmental Growth Modulation and Measurement Implications*

Temperature represents the most significant environmental factor influencing crustacean growth rates and measurement interpretation in aquaculture systems. Thermal effects on growth manifest through multiple pathways, including metabolic rate modulation, molting frequency changes, and alterations in feeding behavior and nutrient utilization efficiency [7,8]. Understanding temperature-growth relationships is essential for interpreting measurement data and establishing realistic growth expectations under varying environmental conditions.

Recent research has demonstrated that temperature effects on crustacean growth extend beyond simple metabolic acceleration, with thermal conditions influencing body proportions and allometric relationships between different morphometric parameters [9]. Higher temperatures may promote faster linear growth while potentially reducing weight gain efficiency, resulting in altered length-weight relationships that must be considered when interpreting measurement data. These temperature-dependent changes in growth patterns necessitate environment-specific calibration of growth assessment protocols.

Seasonal temperature variations in outdoor aquaculture systems introduce additional complexity to growth measurement interpretation, as growth rates may vary significantly throughout production cycles. The integration of temperature data with morphometric measurements enables development of degree-day models that provide more accurate growth predictions and improved production planning capabilities [12]. Table 4 presents temperature-dependent growth characteristics observed in major cultured crustacean species, illustrating the importance of thermal considerations in measurement protocol design.

**Table 4.** Temperature-Dependent Growth Characteristics in Cultured Crustaceans.

| Species | Optimal Temperature | Growth Rate Response | Molting Frequency | Measurement Implications |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mud Crab | 28-32°C | Linear increase to 32°C | 15-25 day intervals | Weekly measurements recommended |
| Red King Crab | 8-12°C | Optimal at 10°C | 30-60 day intervals | Bi-weekly measurements adequate |
| American Lobster | 18-22°C | Peak efficiency 20°C | 25-45 day intervals | Temperature-corrected growth models |
| Red Claw Crayfish | 24-28°C | Exponential to 26°C | 10-20 day intervals | Daily growth monitoring possible |

## 5. Data Analysis and Growth Rate Calculations

### *5.1. Statistical Methods for Growth Assessment*

Statistical analysis of crustacean growth data requires specialized approaches that account for the discontinuous nature of crustacean growth and the hierarchical structure of repeated measurements on individual specimens. Linear mixed-effects models provide robust frameworks for analyzing growth trajectories while accounting for individual variation and environmental factors that influence growth performance [13]. These models can incorporate fixed effects for factors such as temperature, feeding regime, and stocking density while including random effects to account for individual growth potential and measurement error.

Growth rate calculations in crustaceans must distinguish between linear growth increments associated with molting events and weight gain occurring between molts. Traditional growth models developed for continuously growing organisms may not accurately represent crustacean growth patterns, necessitating modified analytical approaches that explicitly account for molting cycles [14]. The development of biphasic growth models that separately quantify molt-associated size increases and intermolt weight gain provides more accurate representation of crustacean growth dynamics.

Survival analysis techniques offer valuable tools for analyzing time-to-molt data and identifying factors that influence molting frequency in cultured crustaceans. Cox proportional hazards models and accelerated failure time models can quantify the effects of environmental factors and individual characteristics on molting probability, providing insights into growth regulation mechanisms [15]. Integration of molting frequency data with morphometric measurements enables development of comprehensive growth assessment protocols that capture both dimensional and temporal aspects of crustacean growth.

*5.2. Allometric Relationships and Size Scaling*

Allometric relationships between carapace dimensions and other morphometric parameters provide fundamental insights into crustacean growth patterns and developmental processes. The establishment of species-specific allometric equations enables prediction of total body weight from carapace measurements, facilitating non-destructive biomass estimation in aquaculture operations [1]. Length-weight relationships typically follow power law functions, with allometric exponents providing information about changes in body proportions during growth.

Ontogenetic changes in allometric relationships reflect developmental transitions and life history characteristics that influence measurement interpretation and growth assessment protocols. Juvenile crustaceans may exhibit different allometric relationships compared to adult specimens, necessitating life-stage-specific calibration of predictive equations [3]. The identification of inflection points in allometric relationships can provide valuable information about size at maturity and optimal harvest size determination in commercial aquaculture operations.

Sexual dimorphism in allometric relationships represents an important consideration in growth assessment, as male and female specimens may exhibit significantly different scaling relationships between morphometric parameters. The development of sex-specific allometric equations improves the accuracy of biomass predictions and growth assessments while providing insights into the biological basis of sexual size dimorphism [2]. Table 5 presents comparative allometric relationships for major cultured crustacean species, illustrating species-specific variations in scaling patterns.

**Table 5.** Allometric Relationships in Major Cultured Crustacean Species.

| Species | Length-Weight Relationship | Allometric Exponent | $R^2$ Value | Size Range | Sexual Dimorphism |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mud Crab | W = 0.0234 × CW^2.89 | 2.89 | 0.95 | 20-150 mm CW | Moderate |
| King Crab | W = 0.0187 × CL^3.12 | 3.12 | 0.92 | 50-200 mm CL | Pronounced |
| American Lobster | W = 0.0156 × CL^2.94 | 2.94 | 0.97 | 25-180 mm CL | Slight |
| Red Claw Crayfish | W = 0.0198 × CL^2.87 | 2.87 | 0.94 | 15-120 mm CL | Minimal |

*5.3. Growth Model Development and Validation*

Development of predictive growth models for cultured crustaceans requires integration of morphometric data with environmental parameters and management factors that influence growth performance. Von Bertalanffy growth models, widely used in fisheries science, can be adapted for crustacean applications with modifications to account for molting-associated growth increments and temperature-dependent growth rates [6]. These models provide valuable tools for production planning and optimization of harvest timing in commercial aquaculture operations.

Validation of growth models requires comprehensive testing against independent datasets that encompass the full range of environmental conditions and management practices encountered in commercial production systems. Cross-validation techniques and bootstrapping methods provide robust approaches for assessing model performance and quantifying prediction uncertainty [8]. Model validation should include assessment of predictive accuracy across different size classes, as growth models may perform differently for juvenile versus adult specimens.

The integration of environmental monitoring data with growth measurements enables development of mechanistic growth models that explicitly account for temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and other factors that influence crustacean growth performance. These models provide improved predictive capabilities and enhanced understanding of growth regulation mechanisms, facilitating optimization of culture conditions and management practices [11]. Regular model updating and recalibration ensures continued accuracy as new data becomes available and culture practices evolve.

## 6. Conclusion

Carapace measurement techniques represent fundamental tools for growth assessment in cultured crustaceans, with standardized protocols essential for reliable data collection and meaningful comparisons across studies and production systems. The evolution from traditional manual measurement approaches to sophisticated digital imaging and automated analysis systems has expanded the capabilities and efficiency of morphometric data collection while maintaining the accuracy standards required for scientific and commercial applications. Species-specific adaptations of measurement protocols account for morphological diversity among cultured crustacean taxa while ensuring biological relevance and practical applicability.

The integration of environmental monitoring with morphometric measurements has enhanced understanding of growth regulation mechanisms and improved predictive capabilities for production planning and optimization. Temperature effects on growth patterns necessitate careful consideration of thermal conditions during measurement procedures and interpretation of growth data, with species-specific thermal responses requiring tailored analytical approaches. Statistical methods adapted for discontinuous growth patterns provide robust frameworks for analyzing crustacean growth trajectories and developing predictive models that account for molting cycles and individual variation.

Future developments in measurement technology, including advanced machine learning applications and automated image analysis systems, promise to further improve the efficiency and accuracy of crustacean growth assessment while reducing labor requirements and handling stress. The establishment of standardized measurement protocols and quality control procedures ensures data comparability across different facilities and research programs, facilitating collaborative research efforts and industry-wide improvement in culture practices. Continued refinement of measurement techniques and analytical methods will support the sustainable expansion of crustacean aquaculture while advancing scientific understanding of growth regulation and optimization strategies.

## References

1. Y.-J. Chang, C.-L. Sun, Y. Chen, and S.-Z. Yeh, "Modelling the growth of crustacean species," *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 157–187, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s11160-011-9228-4.
2. J. Paramo, A. Rodriguez, and C. Quintana, "Growth type and relative condition factor as a function of the body shape of deep-water crustaceans in the Colombian Caribbean Sea," *PeerJ*, vol. 12, pp. e16583–e16583, 2024, doi: 10.7717/peerj.16583.
3. M. Scanu, C. Froglia, F. Grati, and L. Bolognini, "Estimate of Growth Parameters of Penaeus kerathurus (Forskäl, 1775) (Crustacea, Penaeidae) in the Northern Adriatic Sea," *Animals*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. 1068, 2024, doi: 10.3390/ani14071068.
4. M. S. Hossain, A. Kouba, and M. Buřič, "Morphometry, size at maturity, and fecundity of marbled crayfish (Procambarus virginalis)," *Zool. Anz.*, vol. 281, pp. 68–75, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jcz.2019.06.005.
5. A. W. Stoner, M. L. Ottmar, and L. A. Copeman, "Temperature effects on the molting, growth, and lipid composition of newly-settled red king crab," *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, vol. 393, no. 1–2, pp. 138–147, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2010.07.011.

6. Q. Wang, Z. Z. Wang, H. Shan, C. Z. Ding, D. Wu, and M. Jiang et al., "The construction of migration crab passageways and the carapace length-weight relationships of migratory Eriocheir sinensis H. Milne Edwards, 1853 (Decapoda: Brachyura: Varunidae) in the Yangtze River, China," *J. Crustacean Biol.*, vol. 45, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1093/jcbiol/ruaf012.
7. J. Liu, C. Shi, Y. Ye, Z. Ma, C. Mu, and Z. Ren et al., "Effects of Temperature on Growth, Molting, Feed Intake, and Energy Metabolism of Individually Cultured Juvenile Mud Crab Scylla paramamosain in the Recirculating Aquaculture System," *Water*, vol. 14, no. 19, p. 2988, 2022, doi: 10.3390/w14192988.
8. S. I. Siikavuopio and P. James, "Effects of temperature on feed intake, growth and oxygen consumption in adult male king crabParalithodes camtschaticusheld in captivity and fed manufactured diets," *Aquac. Res.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 602–608, 2013, doi: 10.1111/are.12207.
9. M. Aune, Jenny, S. I. Siikavuopio, G. N. Christensen, K. T. Nilsen, and B. Merkel et al., "Space and Habitat Utilization of the Red King Crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus) in a Newly Invaded Fjord in Northern Norway," *Front. Mar. Sci.*, vol. 9, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.762087.
10. H. D. Bracken-Grissom, S. T. Ahyong, R. D. Wilkinson, R. M. Feldmann, C. E. Schweitzer, and J. W. Breinholt et al., "The Emergence of Lobsters: Phylogenetic Relationships, Morphological Evolution and Divergence Time Comparisons of an Ancient Group (Decapoda: Achelata, Astacidea, Glypheidea, Polychelida)," *Syst. Biol.*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 457–479, 2014, doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syu008.
11. Q. Wang, J. X. Yang, G. Q. Zhou, Y. A. Zhu, and H. Shan, "Length–weight and chelae length–width relationships of the crayfish Procambarus clarkii under culture conditions," *J. Freshwater Ecol.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 287–294, 2011, doi: 10.1080/02705060.2011.564380.
12. A. Manyak-Davis, T. M. Bell, and E. E. Sotka, "The Relative Importance of Predation Risk and Water Temperature in Maintaining Bergmann's Rule in a Marine Ectotherm," *Am. Nat.*, vol. 182, no. 3, pp. 347–358, 2013, doi: 10.1086/671170.
13. M. Khan, X. Wang, K. K. Thakur, R. Guild, R. A. Nawaz, and Muhammad Awais, "Lobster Yield Dynamics in a Warming Ocean: A Generalized Linear Modeling Case Study in Prince Edward Island, Canada," *Foods*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2072–2072, 2025, doi: 10.3390/foods14122072.
14. G. M.-D. León, M. Fahrni, and M. P. Thakur, "Temperature-size responses during ontogeny are independent of progenitors' thermal environments," *PeerJ*, vol. 12, pp. e17432–e17432, 2024, doi: 10.7717/peerj.17432.
15. M. Buřič, A. Kouba, and P. Kozák, "Reproductive Plasticity in Freshwater Invader: From Long-Term Sperm Storage to Parthenogenesis," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 10, p. e77597, 2013, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077597.