

Review

## 2025 International Conference on Environment, Aquatic Systems and Intelligent Technologies (EASIT 2025)

# Freshwater Ecosystem Management Strategies for Commercially Important Decapod Species Populations

Nguyen Thi Lan <sup>1,\*</sup><sup>1</sup> Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

\* Correspondence: Nguyen Thi Lan, Can Tho University, Can Tho, Vietnam

**Abstract:** Freshwater decapod crustaceans represent economically vital components of aquatic ecosystems worldwide, yet their populations face unprecedented threats from anthropogenic pressures including habitat degradation, pollution, and climate change. This paper examines comprehensive management strategies for commercially important freshwater decapod species, with particular emphasis on sustainable conservation approaches that balance ecological integrity with economic demands. The analysis encompasses ecosystem-based management frameworks, species-specific conservation protocols, and integrated multitrophic aquaculture systems that support both wild population recovery and commercial viability. Through examination of successful case studies from major river systems including the Yangtze River and Lake Victoria Basin, this study demonstrates that effective decapod management requires adaptive strategies incorporating habitat restoration, migration corridor establishment, water quality management, and community-based conservation initiatives. The research reveals that ecosystem-based approaches significantly outperform single-species management strategies in maintaining population stability and genetic diversity. Key findings indicate that migration passageway construction, nutrient cycling optimization, and stakeholder engagement represent critical components of successful conservation programs. The paper concludes that sustainable freshwater decapod management necessitates integrated approaches combining scientific research, traditional ecological knowledge, and adaptive management frameworks to address the complex challenges facing these commercially valuable species in rapidly changing aquatic environments.

**Keywords:** freshwater decapods; ecosystem management; aquaculture; biodiversity conservation; sustainable fisheries; crustacean ecology

Received: 15 July 2025

Revised: 28 July 2025

Accepted: 16 August 2025

Published: 23 August 2025



**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Freshwater ecosystems harbor approximately 40% of global fish species despite occupying less than 1% of Earth's surface, yet these environments face disproportionate biodiversity loss compared to marine and terrestrial systems [1]. Among the most economically significant components of freshwater biodiversity are decapod crustaceans, including crayfishes, freshwater crabs, and prawns, which support substantial commercial fisheries and aquaculture operations worldwide [2]. These species serve critical ecological functions as both predators and prey, contributing to nutrient cycling, sediment bioturbation, and food web dynamics that maintain ecosystem stability [3].

The commercial importance of freshwater decapods has grown exponentially over the past decades, with global production reaching millions of tons annually through both capture fisheries and intensive aquaculture systems [4]. Species such as *Procambarus*

clarkii, Eriocheir sinensis, and various freshwater prawns generate billions of dollars in revenue while providing protein security for millions of people globally. However, this economic value has come at significant environmental costs, with many wild populations experiencing dramatic declines due to overharvesting, habitat destruction, pollution, and the introduction of non-native species [5].

Contemporary conservation challenges facing freshwater decapod populations are multifaceted and interconnected, requiring sophisticated management approaches that address both immediate threats and long-term sustainability concerns. Traditional single-species management strategies have proven inadequate for addressing the complex ecological interactions and environmental pressures affecting these organisms [2]. The need for comprehensive ecosystem-based management strategies has become increasingly apparent as climate change, urbanization, and agricultural intensification continue to degrade freshwater habitats worldwide [6].

Recent advances in aquaculture technology, genetic research, and ecological understanding have created new opportunities for developing innovative management strategies that can simultaneously support commercial interests and conservation objectives [7,8]. Integrated multitrophic aquaculture systems demonstrate how decapod cultivation can be combined with other aquatic organisms to create sustainable production systems that minimize environmental impacts while maximizing economic returns [3]. The urgency of implementing effective management strategies has intensified as biodiversity loss accelerates globally [9].

## 2. Current Threats to Freshwater Decapod Populations

### 2.1. Habitat Degradation and Fragmentation

Habitat degradation represents the primary threat to freshwater decapod populations worldwide, with dam construction, channelization, and water extraction fundamentally altering the physical and chemical characteristics of aquatic environments [1]. River fragmentation through dam construction has particularly severe impacts on migratory species such as Eriocheir sinensis, which require access to both freshwater and estuarine environments to complete their life cycles [10]. The construction of over 45,000 large dams globally has created barriers that prevent natural migration patterns, leading to population isolation and genetic bottlenecks that reduce species resilience [4].

Urban development and agricultural expansion have resulted in widespread loss of riparian vegetation, increased sedimentation, and altered flow regimes that degrade the complex habitat structures required by many decapod species [11]. Wetland destruction has been particularly devastating, with over 70% of global wetlands lost since 1900, eliminating critical nursery habitats and spawning grounds for numerous commercially important species [5]. The fragmentation of continuous habitat into isolated patches reduces population connectivity, limiting gene flow and increasing vulnerability to local extinctions. Table 1 presents the major habitat degradation factors affecting different freshwater decapod species across various geographic regions, demonstrating the widespread nature of these threats and their differential impacts on commercial species.

**Table 1.** Major habitat degradation factors affecting commercially important freshwater decapod species.

Species	Primary Habitat Threats	Geographic Region	Population Impact	Commercial Significance
Eriocheir sinensis	Dam construction, river channelization	East Asia	60% decline	High
Procambarus clarkii	Wetland drainage, pollution	Global	Variable	Very High

Astacus astacus	Habitat fragmentation, acidification	Europe	90% decline	Medium
Macrobrachium rosenbergii	Mangrove destruction, salinity changes	Southeast Asia	40% decline	High
Cherax destructor	Flow alteration, sedimentation	Australia	30% decline	Medium

### 2.2. Water Quality Deterioration

Water quality degradation through agricultural runoff, industrial discharge, and urban pollution has created widespread environmental conditions that are incompatible with healthy decapod populations [5]. Nutrient pollution from agricultural fertilizers and sewage discharge leads to eutrophication, creating hypoxic conditions that stress decapod populations and alter food web dynamics. Heavy metal contamination from industrial activities accumulates in decapod tissues, affecting reproduction, growth, and survival while potentially creating food safety concerns for human consumers.

Pesticide contamination represents a particularly insidious threat, as these chemicals often target arthropods specifically, making decapods highly vulnerable to sublethal and lethal effects. Chronic exposure to low concentrations of pesticides can impair immune function, reduce reproductive success, and increase susceptibility to diseases that can devastate commercial populations [1]. Climate change is exacerbating water quality issues through increased temperature fluctuations, altered precipitation patterns, and more frequent extreme weather events [6].

### 2.3. Invasive Species and Disease Pressure

The introduction of non-native decapod species has created complex ecological challenges that threaten native populations through competition, predation, and disease transmission [7]. Invasive crayfish species have established populations in numerous river systems worldwide, often outcompeting native species through superior reproductive rates, broader environmental tolerances, and more aggressive behaviors. The red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* has invaded ecosystems across Europe, Asia, and Africa, fundamentally altering community structure and ecosystem function in recipient environments.

Disease transmission represents a critical threat associated with both invasive species and intensive aquaculture operations. Crayfish plague, caused by the oomycete *Aphanomyces astaci*, has decimated European crayfish populations, with some species experiencing range reductions exceeding 90% [8]. The pathogen is carried asymptotically by North American crayfish species, creating ongoing infection pressure that prevents recovery of susceptible native populations.

## 3. Ecosystem-Based Management Approaches

### 3.1. Integrated Habitat Management

Ecosystem-based management for freshwater decapods requires comprehensive approaches that address habitat requirements across entire life cycles and watershed scales [2]. Successful management strategies recognize that decapod populations are embedded within complex ecological networks that include predators, prey, competitors, and mutualistic partners, all of which contribute to population dynamics and ecosystem stability. Watershed-scale planning enables managers to address upstream activities that affect downstream habitat quality, creating coordinated management responses that can effectively protect critical habitats and migration corridors.

Riparian zone restoration represents a fundamental component of integrated habitat management, as these areas provide essential services including bank stabilization, tem-

perature regulation, organic matter inputs, and terrestrial arthropod prey sources. Restoration efforts typically involve native vegetation establishment, livestock exclusion, and erosion control measures that improve both terrestrial and aquatic habitat quality [1]. Table 2 illustrates the key components of integrated habitat management and their effectiveness for different freshwater decapod management objectives.

**Table 2.** Integrated habitat management components and effectiveness ratings for freshwater decapod conservation.

Management Component	Population Recovery	Genetic Diversity	Commercial Viability	Implementation Cost	Long-term Sustainability
Riparian restoration	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
Flow regime management	Very High	High	High	High	Very High
Connectivity enhancement	High	Very High	Medium	High	High
Water quality improvement	Very High	Medium	High	Very High	High
Invasive species control	Medium	High	High	Medium	Medium

### 3.2. Population Connectivity and Migration Corridors

Maintaining population connectivity represents a critical component of successful decapod management, particularly for species that undertake extensive migrations or require access to diverse habitat types during different life stages [4]. The construction of fish ladders and specialized crustacean passageways has emerged as an effective strategy for maintaining connectivity in fragmented river systems, allowing populations to access traditional spawning and nursery areas that may be blocked by dams or other infrastructure.

Recent innovations in passageway design specifically address the unique locomotory capabilities and behavioral patterns of decapod species, which may differ significantly from those of fish species for which most existing structures were designed. Successful crayfish and crab passageways incorporate resting areas, appropriate substrate materials, and flow conditions that accommodate the bottom-dwelling nature and relatively slow movement speeds of these organisms [4].

### 3.3. Community-Based Conservation Initiatives

Community-based conservation represents an increasingly important component of freshwater decapod management, particularly in regions where local communities depend directly on these resources for subsistence or income [12]. Indigenous and traditional knowledge systems often contain detailed understanding of decapod ecology, population dynamics, and sustainable harvesting practices that have been developed over generations of direct interaction with these species [13]. The integration of traditional ecological knowledge with scientific research creates more comprehensive management approaches that benefit from both empirical data and experiential understanding.

Stakeholder engagement processes that include local fishing communities, aquaculture producers, conservation organizations, and government agencies facilitate the development of management strategies that balance diverse interests and perspectives [12]. Community-based monitoring programs leverage local knowledge and presence to collect long-term data on population trends, habitat conditions, and threats that may not be captured by formal scientific surveys [14].

#### 4. Species-Specific Conservation Strategies

##### 4.1. Life Cycle-Based Management Approaches

Effective conservation of commercially important freshwater decapods requires detailed understanding of species-specific life history characteristics and the development of management strategies that address critical life stages and habitat requirements [11]. Different decapod species exhibit remarkable diversity in reproductive strategies, ranging from direct development in some crayfish species to complex larval stages in freshwater prawns that may require brackish or marine environments for successful completion.

The Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis* exemplifies the complexity of managing catadromous species that migrate between freshwater and marine environments during their life cycle. Effective management requires coordination across multiple jurisdictions and habitat types, from upstream freshwater tributaries where adults mature and mate to coastal estuarine areas where larvae develop [4]. For species with direct development such as many crayfish, management strategies focus on protecting critical habitats including shallow, vegetated areas used for reproduction and juvenile recruitment [11].

##### 4.2. Genetic Diversity Conservation

Maintaining genetic diversity within freshwater decapod populations requires active management strategies that address both natural population processes and anthropogenic threats to genetic integrity [8]. Wild populations that have experienced severe declines or habitat fragmentation may suffer from reduced genetic diversity through bottleneck effects and inbreeding depression, reducing their ability to adapt to environmental changes and resist disease outbreaks.

Aquaculture operations present both opportunities and risks for genetic conservation efforts. Well-managed breeding programs that maintain large effective population sizes and avoid intensive selection for production traits can serve as genetic repositories that support wild population restoration efforts [8]. Translocation programs represent important tools for genetic management, allowing managers to enhance genetic diversity in small or isolated populations through the introduction of individuals from genetically distinct source populations.

##### 4.3. Population Monitoring and Assessment

Comprehensive population monitoring provides the foundation for adaptive management of freshwater decapod species, enabling managers to track population trends, assess the effectiveness of conservation measures, and detect emerging threats before they cause irreversible damage [10]. Traditional monitoring approaches rely on standardized sampling techniques including trapping, electrofishing, and visual surveys that provide direct estimates of population abundance, size structure, and reproductive success.

Emerging molecular techniques offer powerful new tools for population assessment that can provide insights into genetic diversity, population connectivity, and demographic history that complement traditional monitoring approaches [10]. Environmental DNA sampling enables detection of species presence and relative abundance from water samples, providing cost-effective monitoring for rare or cryptic species that may be difficult to detect through conventional methods. Table 3 presents the comparative effectiveness and resource requirements of different monitoring approaches for freshwater decapod population assessment.

**Table 3.** Comparison of monitoring approaches for freshwater decapod population assessment.

Monitoring Approach	Detection Sensitivity	Cost Effectiveness	Data Quality	Technical Requirements	Scalability
Traditional trapping	High	Medium	Very High	Low	Medium

Environmental DNA	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
Genetic monitoring	Low	Low	Very High	Very High	Low
Visual surveys	Medium	High	Medium	Low	High
Citizen science	Variable	Very High	Medium	Medium	Very High

## 5. Sustainable Aquaculture Integration

### 5.1. Integrated Multitrophic Aquaculture Systems

Integrated multitrophic aquaculture systems represent innovative approaches to sustainable decapod production that mimic natural ecosystem processes while maximizing production efficiency and minimizing environmental impacts [3]. These systems combine decapod cultivation with other aquatic organisms including fish, mollusks, and aquatic plants that utilize different trophic levels and ecological niches, creating synergistic interactions that enhance overall system productivity.

Nutrient cycling optimization within integrated systems leverages the feeding behaviors and metabolic processes of different species to maximize resource utilization efficiency. Decapods serve as effective biofilters that consume organic waste and detritus produced by fish cultivation, converting these materials into valuable biomass while improving water quality throughout the system [3]. The economic advantages of integrated systems extend beyond improved resource efficiency to include risk diversification and market flexibility that enhance the sustainability of aquaculture operations.

### 5.2. Habitat Enhancement Through Aquaculture

Strategic aquaculture development can serve conservation objectives through habitat creation and enhancement that benefits both cultured and wild decapod populations [2]. Properly designed aquaculture ponds and raceways can provide spawning habitat, nursery areas, and refugia that support wild population recruitment while maintaining commercial production goals. Restoration aquaculture represents an emerging approach that explicitly combines conservation and production objectives through the cultivation of native species in degraded habitats [12].

### 5.3. Genetic Resource Management in Aquaculture

Sustainable aquaculture development requires careful attention to genetic resource management that preserves the evolutionary potential of cultured populations while avoiding negative impacts on wild genetic diversity [8]. Breeding programs that maintain large effective population sizes and minimize inbreeding help preserve genetic variation that supports adaptation to changing environmental conditions and resistance to emerging diseases. Table 4 presents the key genetic management strategies employed in sustainable decapod aquaculture and their effectiveness for different conservation and production objectives.

**Table 4.** Genetic management strategies in sustainable freshwater decapod aquaculture.

Genetic Strategy	Genetic Diversity Maintenance	Production Performance	Wild Population Protection	Implementation Complexity	Long-term Viability
Multiple founder lineages	Very High	Medium	High	Medium	Very High



Balanced selective breeding	High	High	High	High	High
Genetic monitoring programs	High	Low	Very High	Very High	High
Cryopreservation banking	Very High	Low	Very High	Very High	Very High
Population supplementation	Medium	Low	High	High	Medium

## 6. Case Studies and Implementation Examples

### 6.1. Yangtze River Chinese Mitten Crab Management

The Yangtze River Chinese mitten crab fishery represents one of the most economically significant freshwater decapod fisheries globally, with annual production values exceeding several billion dollars and supporting hundreds of thousands of people throughout the river basin [4]. However, dam construction and habitat modification have severely impacted natural migration patterns and population connectivity, necessitating innovative management approaches that combine infrastructure modification with population enhancement strategies.

Recent management initiatives have focused on optimizing the design and placement of migration passageways that accommodate the specific behavioral and physiological requirements of migrating crabs. These structures incorporate resting areas with appropriate substrate materials, gradual elevation changes that match crab climbing abilities, and flow conditions that provide necessary chemical cues for navigation [4]. The integration of aquaculture production with wild population management has created innovative approaches that support both conservation and economic objectives in the Yangtze River system.

### 6.2. European Crayfish Conservation Programs

European freshwater crayfish conservation represents one of the most challenging decapod management scenarios globally, as native populations have experienced catastrophic declines exceeding 90% due to disease, habitat loss, and invasive species impacts [1]. The noble crayfish *Astacus astacus* and other native European species face ongoing threats from crayfish plague and competition from invasive North American species that continue to expand their ranges throughout European river systems.

Ark site establishment has emerged as a critical strategy for preserving viable populations of European crayfish species in isolated habitats that can be protected from disease transmission and invasive species colonization [6]. Restoration aquaculture programs have demonstrated the potential for rebuilding native crayfish populations in habitats where threats have been successfully controlled or eliminated [2].

### 6.3. Lake Victoria Basin Decapod Management

The Lake Victoria Basin supports diverse freshwater decapod communities that provide important protein sources and economic opportunities for millions of people throughout East Africa [14, 15]. However, rapid population growth, agricultural intensification, and industrial development have created severe environmental pressures that threaten both ecosystem integrity and the sustainability of traditional fisheries [16].

Integrated watershed management approaches have been implemented to address the multiple stressors affecting Lake Victoria aquatic ecosystems through coordinated action across political boundaries and economic sectors [14]. The development of sustaina-

ble aquaculture systems represents an important component of Lake Victoria Basin management that can reduce pressure on wild populations while providing alternative livelihoods for fishing communities [15]. Table 5 summarizes the management outcomes and lessons learned from these three major case study regions.

**Table 5.** Comparative analysis of freshwater decapod management case studies.

Case Study Region	Primary Management Strategy	Key Successes	Major Challenges	Transferability	Economic Impact
Yangtze River	Migration corridor restoration	Improved connectivity	Dam infrastructure	High	Very High
European Systems	Ark site conservation	Population preservation	Disease management	Medium	Low
Lake Victoria Basin	Watershed integration	Community engagement	Multi-stressor impacts	High	Medium

## 7. Future Directions and Recommendations

### 7.1. Technological Innovation and Monitoring

Advances in remote sensing, molecular biology, and information technology offer unprecedented opportunities for improving freshwater decapod management through enhanced monitoring capabilities and more precise management interventions [10]. Satellite imagery and drone surveys enable landscape-scale habitat assessment that can identify degraded areas requiring restoration attention while tracking the effectiveness of management interventions over time.

Next-generation sequencing technologies are revolutionizing understanding of decapod population genetics, disease ecology, and ecosystem interactions through comprehensive genomic analysis [10]. Environmental DNA monitoring enables rapid species detection and abundance estimation across large geographic areas, providing cost-effective tools for tracking population trends and detecting invasive species establishment.

### 7.2. Climate Change Adaptation Strategies

Climate change represents an overarching challenge that will require fundamental adaptations in freshwater decapod management strategies as temperature regimes, precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events continue to shift beyond historical ranges [6,9]. Rising temperatures may expand suitable habitat for some species while eliminating others, requiring flexible management approaches that can accommodate range shifts and changing species composition.

Water resource management will become increasingly critical as changing precipitation patterns create more frequent and severe droughts and floods that stress aquatic ecosystems. Ecosystem resilience enhancement through habitat diversification and connectivity improvement provides fundamental strategies for maintaining decapod populations under changing climate conditions [1].

### 7.3. Policy and Governance Integration

Effective freshwater decapod management requires policy frameworks that integrate conservation objectives with economic development goals while addressing the complex jurisdictional challenges inherent in watershed-scale ecosystem management [12]. International cooperation becomes essential for managing transboundary water resources and migratory species that cross political boundaries during their life cycles.



Adaptive governance structures that can respond rapidly to new scientific information and changing environmental conditions represent critical requirements for managing dynamic ecological systems under increasing uncertainty. Economic incentive systems that align private interests with conservation objectives provide powerful tools for achieving sustainable management outcomes across large landscapes and diverse stakeholder groups [2].

## 8. Conclusion

The sustainable management of commercially important freshwater decapod populations requires comprehensive strategies that integrate ecosystem-based conservation approaches with innovative aquaculture technologies and community-based management initiatives. The evidence demonstrates that traditional single-species management approaches are inadequate for addressing the complex ecological and economic challenges facing these valuable resources in rapidly changing aquatic environments. Successful conservation programs must address multiple spatial and temporal scales while incorporating diverse stakeholder perspectives and knowledge systems to achieve both ecological integrity and economic sustainability.

The case studies examined reveal that effective decapod management depends on maintaining habitat connectivity, preserving genetic diversity, and addressing the multiple stressors that threaten population viability including habitat degradation, pollution, invasive species, and climate change. Technological innovations in monitoring, genetics, and predictive modeling provide powerful new tools for implementing adaptive management strategies, while integrated multitrophic aquaculture systems offer promising approaches for sustainable production that supports rather than competes with wild populations.

Future management success will depend on developing policy frameworks that facilitate coordination across jurisdictions and sectors while providing economic incentives for conservation action. The integration of traditional ecological knowledge with scientific research creates more robust management approaches that benefit from both empirical data and experiential understanding. As global environmental change accelerates, the urgency of implementing comprehensive management strategies for freshwater decapods increases, requiring immediate action to prevent irreversible losses of both biodiversity and economic opportunity.

## References

1. A. J. Reid, A. K. Carlson, I. F. Creed, E. J. Eliason, P. A. Gell, and P. T. J. Johnson et al., "Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity," *Biol. Rev.*, vol. 94, no. 3, pp. 849–873, 2018, doi: 10.1111/brv.12480.
2. J. Radinger, S. Matern, T. Klefoth, C. Wolter, F. Feldhege, and C. T. Monk et al., "Ecosystem-based management outperforms species-focused stocking for enhancing fish populations," *Science*, vol. 379, no. 6635, pp. 946–951, 2023, doi: 10.1126/science.adf0895.
3. G. Musin, M. V. Torres, and D. de A. Carvalho, "Consumer-driven nutrient recycling of freshwater decapods: Linking ecological theories and application in integrated multitrophic aquaculture," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 18, no. 10, p. e0262972, 2023, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0262972.
4. Q. Wang, Z. Z. Wang, H. Shan, C. Z. Ding, D. Wu, and M. Jiang et al., "The construction of migration crab passageways and the carapace length-weight relationships of migratory *Eriocheir sinensis* H. Milne Edwards, 1853 (Decapoda: Brachyura: Varunidae) in the Yangtze River, China," *J. Crustacean Biol.*, vol. 45, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1093/jcbiol/ruaf012.
5. S. F. Ahmed, P. S. Kumar, M. Kabir, F. T. Zuhara, A. Mehjabin, and N. Tasannum et al., "Threats, Challenges and Sustainable Conservation Strategies for Freshwater Biodiversity," *Environ. Res.*, vol. 214, no. 1, p. 113808, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2022.113808.
6. J. S. Albert, G. Destouni, S. M. Duke-Sylvester, A. E. Magurran, T. Oberdorff, and R. E. Reis et al., "Scientists' warning to humanity on the freshwater biodiversity crisis," *Ambio*, vol. 50, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s13280-020-01318-8.
7. D. E. Gu, F. D. Yu, Y. C. Hu, J. W. Wang, M. Xu, and X. D. Mu et al., "The Species Composition and Distribution Patterns of Non-Native Fishes in the Main Rivers of South China," *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 4566–4566, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12114566.
8. M. K. Sanda, N. B. Metcalfe, and B. K. Mable, "The potential impact of aquaculture on the genetic diversity and conservation of wild fish in sub-Saharan Africa," *Aquat. Conserv.*, vol. 34, no. 2, 2024, doi: 10.1002/aqc.4105.

9. D. Dudgeon and D. L. Strayer, "Bending the curve of global freshwater biodiversity loss: what are the prospects?," *Biol. Rev.*, 2024, doi: 10.1111/brv.13137.
10. M. Esser, M. Brinkmann, and M. Hecker, "Solving Freshwater Conservation Challenges through Next-Generation Sequencing Approaches," *Environ. Sci. Adv.*, 2024, doi: 10.1039/d4va00112e.
11. Q. Wang, J. X. Yang, G. Q. Zhou, Y. A. Zhu, and H. Shan, "Length–weight and chelae length–width relationships of the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* under culture conditions," *J. Freshwater Ecol.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 287–294, 2011, doi: 10.1080/02705060.2011.564380.
12. A. J. Reid, L. E. Eckert, J. Lane, N. Young, S. G. Hinch, and C. T. Darimont et al., "'Two-Eyed Seeing': An Indigenous framework to transform fisheries research and management," *Fish Fish.*, vol. 22, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.1111/faf.12516.
13. D. E. Burkepille, J. E. Allgeier, A. A. Shantz, C. E. Pritchard, N. P. Lemoine, and L. H. Bhatti et al., "Nutrient supply from fishes facilitates macroalgae and suppresses corals in a Caribbean coral reef ecosystem," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2013, doi: 10.1038/srep01493.
14. F. O. Masese, E. W. Wanderi, and H. N. Nyangweso, "Challenges and strategies for management and conservation of water resources and freshwater biodiversity in the Lake Victoria Basin," *Front. Conserv. Sci.*, vol. 6, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fcosc.2025.1544429.
15. Mavindu Muthoka, E. O. Ogello, N. O. Outa, K. O. Ouko, K. O. Obiero, and J. B. Mboya et al., "Threats to aquatic biodiversity and possible management strategies in Lake Victoria," *Aquac. Fish Fish.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2023, doi: 10.1002/aff2.143.
16. M. Faghihinia, Y. Xu, D. Liu, and N. Wu, "Freshwater biodiversity at different habitats: Research hotspots with persistent and emerging themes," *Ecol. Indic.*, vol. 129, p. 107926, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107926.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The views, opinions, and data expressed in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of CPCIG-CONFERENCES and/or the editor(s). CPCIG-CONFERENCES and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.