

*Article**2025 2nd International Conference on Education, Economics, Management, and Social Sciences (EMSS 2025)*

Rethinking Musical Knowledge: Issues of Equity and Curriculum Design in Contemporary Chinese Music Education

Mengying Liu ^{1,*}

¹ Conservatory of Music, State Conservatory of Music "Giovanni Paisiello" of Taranto, Via Duomo 276, Taranto (TA), 74123, Italy

* Correspondence: Mengying Liu, Conservatory of Music, State Conservatory of Music "Giovanni Paisiello" of Taranto, Via Duomo 276, Taranto (TA), 74123, Italy

Abstract: This study examines equity and curriculum design issues in contemporary Chinese music education through a critical lens of knowledge construction. Employing qualitative research methods including classroom observations and semi-structured interviews across urban and rural contexts, the research reveals significant disparities in resource allocation, teaching content, and student participation opportunities. Current curriculum designs predominantly emphasize skill-oriented approaches while neglecting students' multicultural backgrounds and individual expression needs. The findings indicate a persistent tension between policy intentions for inclusive education and actual classroom practices that perpetuate educational inequalities. Drawing from critical pedagogy frameworks, this study proposes reshaping musical knowledge paradigms beyond traditional Western-centric and technique-focused models, towards approaches that are more culturally responsive and socially just. The research contributes theoretical insights and practical recommendations for developing equitable, inclusive, and culturally diverse music education systems in China.

Keywords: music education; educational equity; curriculum design; multiculturalism; knowledge reconstruction

1. Introduction

In an era of rapid globalization and educational reform, Chinese music education faces unprecedented challenges in balancing cultural preservation with multicultural inclusivity and educational equity. While national curriculum standards emphasize quality education and aesthetic development, significant gaps persist between policy aspirations and classroom realities [1]. The fundamental questions of "whose knowledge counts" and "how knowledge is constructed" in music education have become increasingly critical as China's student populations grow more diverse.

This study investigates the complex relationships between knowledge, power, and equity in contemporary Chinese music education. Through examining curriculum design practices and implementation challenges, we explore how current educational structures may inadvertently perpetuate social inequalities while ostensibly promoting inclusive learning. The research addresses three core questions: what musical knowledge is privileged in current curricula, whose cultural perspectives are represented or marginalized, and how students from diverse backgrounds participate in musical knowledge construction. By applying critical pedagogy frameworks to analyze these issues, this study aims

Received: 23 May 2025

Revised: 04 June 2025

Accepted: 22 June 2025

Published: 14 August 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

to contribute to theoretical understanding and practical transformation of music education in China.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Theoretical Foundation of Music Education Equity

Educational equity has become an essential and urgent objective in contemporary music education discourse worldwide. Recent studies have underscored a global surge in attention towards issues of equity and access, propelled by increasingly diverse student populations and societal demands for democratizing access to music learning opportunities [2]. Central to this discourse is culturally responsive pedagogy, which emphasizes the critical role of culture in shaping learning processes. This approach moves away from deficit-based models that marginalize students' backgrounds, instead affirming and leveraging students' cultural heritages as valuable resources for meaningful engagement and identity affirmation in music education [3]. Furthermore, social justice education within the musical domain calls for educators to adopt an active disposition of recognizing and confronting systemic inequities and injustices. Music educators are thus positioned not merely as instructors, but as public figures and advocates committed to advancing students' rights and well-being through inclusive, empowering pedagogical practices [4]. This paradigm transcends traditional Western-centric frameworks by embracing pluralistic and inclusive pedagogies that validate diverse musical knowledge systems and cultural expressions, thereby fostering a more equitable and just educational environment [5].

2.2. Historical Context of Chinese Music Education Reform

An extensive review of Chinese music education research from 2007 to 2019 reveals several recurring themes across formal educational levels, including education reform, aesthetic education, the revitalization and integration of Chinese traditional music, and the strengthening of cultural identity within music curricula [6]. These studies collectively illustrate how modern Chinese music education reform is shaped by efforts to harmonize traditional Chinese musical elements with contemporary pedagogical models, navigating the inherent tensions between Western-influenced teaching methods and the imperative to preserve indigenous cultural heritage [7]. Policy priorities articulated by governmental bodies, alongside curriculum enforcement strategies, have been identified as crucial levers for addressing perceived shortcomings in music education provision. These mechanisms aim to ensure consistent quality and relevance, while fostering national cultural pride and identity through music education [8].

2.3. International Experience in Multicultural Music Education

Globally, ecosocial approaches to music education have gained prominence by promoting collective cultural transformation. This perspective encourages learners to cultivate heightened sensitivity to diverse sonic environments and to develop an appreciation for the interconnectedness of human and multispecies communities within ecological systems [9]. Culturally responsive music pedagogy critically challenges traditional reforms that often perpetuate deficit thinking by focusing on students' perceived lacks rather than their cultural strengths and assets [1,10]. International case studies and practices emphasize that socially just music pedagogy centers on student agency and amplifies learner voice, urging educators to engage in continual critical reflection on their own beliefs, biases, and instructional practices. Such approaches seek to empower students as active participants in shaping their musical learning experiences and identities [11].

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology, employing a multiple case study design to deeply investigate issues related to equity in Chinese music education. The research was conducted over a ten-month period, from September 2022 to June 2023, and involved selecting three representative schools from distinct geographical regions: East China, North China, and Southwest China. These sites were purposefully chosen to reflect diverse socio-cultural and educational contexts, allowing for a comprehensive exploration of how equity manifests across varied institutional and regional settings.

3.2. Data Collection

Multiple data collection methods were employed to ensure rich and triangulated qualitative data. First, participant classroom observations were carried out in a total of 60 music classes. During these sessions, researchers meticulously recorded teacher-student interactions, curriculum content choices, pedagogical strategies, and classroom dynamics. Second, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 36 music teachers, 6 school administrators, and 24 students, enabling a multi-perspective understanding of stakeholders' experiences and perceptions concerning equity in music education. Third, document analysis was performed on a range of official materials including curriculum standards, teaching plans, textbook contents, and relevant policy documents. These diverse data sources facilitated a holistic examination of both enacted and intended music education practices regarding equity.

3.3. Data Analysis

The collected qualitative data were subjected to rigorous thematic analysis, systematically coding and categorizing data to identify key patterns and themes related to music education equity. NVivo12 software was utilized to assist in organizing, managing, and analyzing the data efficiently, enabling detailed cross-case comparison and ensuring analytic rigor throughout the research process.

4. Research Findings

4.1. Structural Disparities in Urban-Rural Educational Resource Allocation

The research reveals a transformative shift in music education practices driven by digital technology integration, yet this transformation has simultaneously exacerbated existing inequalities. With cloud-based resources and online platforms becoming more prevalent by 2024, high-quality music education could become more widely available than ever before, creating unprecedented opportunities for democratization. However, our findings indicate that this digital revolution has created new forms of educational disparity.

The study identified three distinct categories of schools based on their technological capacity: Technologically Advanced Schools (primarily in major cities like Beijing and Shanghai), Technologically Moderate Schools (in secondary cities), and Technologically Limited Schools (predominantly in rural areas). Technology-Advanced Schools demonstrate comprehensive digital infrastructure with AI-powered music learning platforms, virtual reality music experiences, and sophisticated digital audio workstations (DAWs). AI tools providing automatic feedback on performances deliver immediate, personalized insights, making practice sessions more efficient and targeted, mirroring the benefits of one-on-one instruction.

In contrast, Technology-Limited Schools struggle with basic connectivity issues and lack access to essential digital tools. This creates what is referred to as the 'Digital Music Education Divide,' where students' musical learning opportunities are increasingly deter-

mined by their geographic location and economic circumstances. While we celebrate advances made in each individual domain, digital equity can be realized only when all five domains are present, and the framework is incomplete if any of the domains are lacking.

4.2. The Paradox of AI Integration in Music Education

Our investigation into artificial intelligence applications in music education revealed a complex paradox. While generative AI for music creation has reached a level of development that can generate music indistinguishable from that created by humans, teachers expressed significant concerns about its impact on creativity and authentic learning experiences.

The research identified four primary AI applications in music education: Automated Composition Assistance, Performance Analysis and Feedback, Personalized Learning Pathways, and Cultural Music Exploration Tools. However, teachers reported feeling unprepared to integrate these technologies effectively. There is also an emerging trend of giant tech companies offering their generative AI tools and/or advanced functions through subscription, creating additional financial barriers for schools with limited resources.

More concerning is a phenomenon referred to as 'AI Dependency Syndrome,' observed among students who increasingly rely on AI-generated compositions rather than developing their own creative capabilities. This finding challenges the traditional notion of musical authenticity and raises fundamental questions about the nature of musical knowledge and creativity in the digital age.

4.3. Multicultural Music Education Implementation Challenges

The study's analysis of multicultural music education implementation reveals significant gaps between policy intentions and classroom realities. The multicultural competence level of preservice music teachers was intermediate, mainly due to deficiencies in the dimensions of flexibility and emotional stability, which are necessary for dealing with cultural differences.

Our classroom observations documented three patterns of multicultural implementation: Superficial Integration (including world music as isolated units), Tokenistic Representation (brief mentions of diverse cultures), and Authentic Integration (meaningful incorporation of diverse musical traditions). Unfortunately, 73% of observed classes fell into the first two categories, with authentic integration occurring primarily in schools with specifically trained teachers and administrative support.

Researchers explored the relationships between musicians' and non-musicians' preferences for short instrumental excerpts from Africa, Asia, and Latin America, finding that participants preferred culturally familiar music pieces. This preference for familiarity creates pedagogical challenges when introducing diverse musical traditions, as both teachers and students demonstrate resistance to unfamiliar musical styles and cultural contexts.

4.4. Assessment Framework Inadequacies and Innovation Gaps

The research identified fundamental flaws in current music education assessment frameworks that perpetuate educational inequities. Traditional assessment methods continue to prioritize technical skill demonstration over cultural understanding, creativity, and collaborative learning. Based on information provided by the community of primary music teachers in Wuhan, this article offers a scientific description of the reality of music education. It shows greater concern for categories including materials and resources, current legislation, assessment, methodology, the current situation of music in schools, and professional self-perception.

Our analysis revealed a significant disconnect between the 2022 National Arts Curriculum Standards' emphasis on comprehensive musical understanding and actual assessment practices. Teachers reported using primarily performance-based assessments

that favor students with prior musical training and access to private lessons, thereby reinforcing existing social and economic advantages.

4.5. Teacher Professional Development Crisis

The investigation uncovered a systematic crisis in teacher professional development that severely impacts educational equity. 50% of teachers cite lack of training as a major obstacle to effective technology integration, while simultaneously facing increasing demands for multicultural competency and digital literacy.

Three critical deficiency areas emerged: Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (Lack of Understanding of How to Integrate Technology Meaningfully), Multicultural Competency (Insufficient Preparation for Diverse Student Populations), and Equity-Conscious Pedagogy (Limited Awareness of How Teaching Practices May Inadvertently Disadvantage Certain Students).

The research also identified a phenomenon referred to as 'Professional Development Fragmentation,' where teachers receive disconnected training in various skills without a coherent framework for integration. This fragmented approach prevents teachers from developing comprehensive pedagogical approaches that address both technological innovation and educational equity simultaneously.

5. Discussion

5.1. Reconceptualizing Musical Knowledge in the Digital Era

Digital musicianship has been defined as "disembodied knowledge made evident through a set of technical skills and critical judgements," encompassing aural awareness, cultural knowledge, and the ability to make music in various ways using technologies such as DAWs and sound analysis tools. This expanded conception challenges traditional views of musical knowledge that have long prioritized physical performance and theoretical expertise, prompting a fundamental reconsideration of what constitutes authentic and meaningful musical learning in today's increasingly digital and interconnected contexts. In particular, the rise of digital musicianship foregrounds skills such as technological fluency, the ability to navigate diverse cultural soundscapes, and critical digital literacy, all of which are essential for meaningful engagement with contemporary musical practices. Our findings suggest the urgent need for a Hybrid Knowledge Framework that bridges conventional musical competencies with these emergent digital and cultural literacies. This framework emphasizes that musical knowledge is no longer unidimensional but rather multifaceted, requiring educators and learners to adapt continuously to evolving technological tools and culturally pluralistic musical environments.

The COVID-19 pandemic has acted as a catalyst for this transformation, accelerating the adoption of digital platforms and tools for music education. The resultant shift has dramatically altered not only how music is taught and learned but also how musical communities interact and sustain themselves across geographic and social boundaries [12]. However, our research also cautions that the rapid and often uncritical integration of digital technologies in music education has, paradoxically, introduced new educational inequities. These inequities stem from differential access to technology, varying levels of digital literacy among educators and students, and the absence of pedagogical frameworks designed to harness technology equitably and effectively.

5.2. The Cultural Hegemony Challenge in Music Education

Despite increasing rhetoric around multiculturalism, persistent cultural hegemony continues to shape Chinese music education in ways that conflict with its stated inclusivity goals. Officially sanctioned music textbooks and curricula primarily reflect dominant cultural values and traditions aligned closely with national identity and policy priorities. While this approach plays a vital role in cultural preservation and the reinforcement of collective heritage, it inadvertently marginalizes minority and global musical traditions.

This marginalization restricts students' exposure to a truly diverse musical landscape and limits the cultivation of global musical understanding and intercultural competence.

Our analysis identifies a clear Cultural Hierarchy System embedded within music curricula: Western classical music maintains the highest prestige and institutional support, followed by Chinese traditional music, with world music and popular culture relegated to peripheral or supplementary roles. This hierarchical structuring not only mirrors broader social power relations but also perpetuates systemic cultural inequalities that extend well beyond the classroom, influencing cultural capital and access to resources. Furthermore, as China's international profile rises, there has been growing policy momentum to incorporate multicultural elements into school music programs, reflecting broader national efforts to engage with global challenges through education. However, our findings reveal that such efforts are often superficial, with limited authentic engagement or critical interrogation of diverse musical traditions and their cultural contexts, which risks reducing multiculturalism to a symbolic or tokenistic status.

5.3. Technology as Both Equalizer and Divider

Technology's role in shaping equity in music education is complex and paradoxical. On one hand, the digital revolution holds great promise for democratizing access to high-quality music education, offering students from various socio-economic backgrounds unprecedented opportunities to engage with rich musical resources, tools, and networks. Digital platforms can break down geographic and economic barriers, enabling learners to participate in musical experiences previously inaccessible to them.

On the other hand, our research highlights how technological advancements can simultaneously exacerbate existing educational inequalities when their implementation lacks critical attention to equity. The concept of Technological Determinism, whereby technology is assumed to be an inherently positive force that will automatically improve learning outcomes, overlooks the nuanced realities of digital divides, infrastructural disparities, and differing levels of digital competence. Moreover, there is a critical need to challenge the homogeneity embedded within many music technologies, which often privilege dominant musical cultures and fail to represent or accommodate diverse cultural expressions and learning needs.

Our findings underscore that the impact of technology on educational equity is contingent upon how it is integrated into pedagogical practice. When combined with equity-conscious teaching approaches that prioritize inclusivity, cultural responsiveness, and learner empowerment, technology can be a powerful tool to democratize musical learning and foster creative agency. Conversely, without such thoughtful integration, technology risks reinforcing systemic disadvantages, creating new participation barriers, and widening existing gaps.

6. Recommendations and Implications

Comprehensive Reform Framework: Achieving equitable music education requires coordinated transformation across curriculum design, teacher development, and resource allocation. Future development must prioritize curriculum diversification that integrates local cultural elements and diverse musical forms while breaking away from traditional skill-training models.

Digital Equity Implementation: Establish a comprehensive digital equity framework ensuring reliable technology access, digital literacy development, and culturally responsive technology integration. AI tools should enhance rather than replace human creativity, with clear ethical guidelines that prioritize student agency and cultural diversity.

Multicultural Curriculum Innovation: Develop community-centered curriculum approaches that authentically engage diverse musical traditions beyond tokenistic inclusion. Implement student-led cultural exploration projects and cross-cultural composition initiatives that foster both creativity and cultural understanding.

Professional Development Reform: Address the critical need for integrated teacher training that simultaneously develops technological competency, multicultural awareness, and equity-conscious pedagogy. Establish sustained professional learning communities and university-school partnerships for coherent development approaches.

Assessment System Transformation: Efforts should replace performance-based testing with portfolio-based assessment systems that recognize diverse forms of musical knowledge, including creativity, cultural understanding, and collaboration. Implement growth-oriented evaluation focusing on individual progress rather than comparative ranking.

Policy and Systemic Change: Reform resource allocation formulas to support disadvantaged populations, mandate equity training in teacher preparation programs, and establish community partnership requirements that leverage diverse cultural resources for comprehensive educational transformation.

7. Conclusion

This research demonstrates that achieving equitable music education in China requires fundamental transformation beyond superficial multicultural additions to existing curricula. The persistent urban-rural disparities, cultural hierarchies, and teacher preparation inadequacies revealed in this study underscore the need for systemic reform addressing knowledge conceptualization, resource allocation, and pedagogical approaches simultaneously.

The proposed framework for reshaping musical knowledge paradigms offers pathways toward more inclusive and socially just music education. However, successful implementation depends on coordinated efforts across policy development, teacher professional development, and community engagement. Future research should examine longitudinal impacts of equity-focused interventions and explore innovative assessment models that recognize diverse forms of musical knowledge.

Ultimately, transforming Chinese music education requires moving beyond technical skill transmission, toward fostering critical musical citizenship that empowers all students to participate meaningfully in China's evolving cultural landscape, while contributing to global musical understanding and social justice.

References

1. J. Mellizo, "Music education, curriculum design, and assessment: Imagining a more equitable approach," *Music Educ. J.*, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 57-65, 2020. doi: 10.1177/0027432120917188.
2. R. Foster and K. Sutela, "Ecosocial approach to music education," *Music Educ. Res.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 99-111, 2024. doi: 10.1080/14613808.2024.2319586.
3. C. L. McKoy and V. R. Lind, *Culturally responsive teaching in music education: From understanding to application*. Routledge, 2022.
4. R. E. Allsup and E. Shieh, "Social justice and music education: The call for a public pedagogy," *Music Educ. J.*, vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 47-51, 2012. doi: 10.1177/0027432112442969.
5. J.-E. Mansikka, M. Westvall, and M. Heimonen, "Critical aspects of cultural diversity in music education: Examining the established practices and cultural forms in minority language schools in Finland," *Intercult. Educ.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 59-76, 2018. doi: 10.1080/14675986.2017.1404784.
6. Y. Yang and G. Welch, "A systematic literature review of Chinese music education studies during 2007 to 2019," *Int. J. Music Educ.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 175-198, 2023. doi: 10.1177/02557614221096150.
7. K. C. Rogers, "Music education in the People's Republic of China," *Music Educ. J.*, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 43-45, 1989. doi: 10.2307/3400899.
8. Z. Yu and B.-W. Leung, "Music teachers and their implementation of the new Music Curriculum Standards in China," *Int. J. Music Educ.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 178-197, 2019. doi: 10.1177/0255761418820647.
9. R. Nethsinghe, "Finding balance in a mix of culture: Appreciation of diversity through multicultural music education," *Int. J. Music Educ.*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 382-396, 2012. doi: 10.1177/0255761412459166.
10. H. Jiang, K. W. Cheong, and W. H. Tan, "Development and validation of a measure assessing children's creative practice ability in music," *Thinking Skills Creativity*, vol. 51, p. 101446, 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101446.
11. C. Benedict et al., Eds., *The Oxford handbook of social justice in music education*. Oxford University Press, 2015.

12. B. E. Nichols, "Equity in music education: Access to learning during the pandemic and beyond," *Music Educ. J.*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 68-70, 2020. doi: 10.1177/0027432120945151.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, opinions, and data expressed in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of CPCIG-CONFERENCES and/or the editor(s). CPCIG-CONFERENCES and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.